

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/997,822

Applicant(s)

BOGGS ET AL.

Examiner

Ana M Fortuna

Art Unit

1723

## All Participants:

(1) Ana M Fortuna.

(2) Andrew G. Kolomayets.

Status of Application: allowed.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 3 June 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:



Telephonic



Video Conference



Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none.*

Claims discussed:

*none.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*the attached Examiners's amendmen twas discussed and agreed on with Mr. Kolomayets.*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)